This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

•
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/888,730 06/25/2001 Clive Hayball 0584-1041 2086 **EXAMINER** 09/13/2004 Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H Suite 410 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 209 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60604-1202 2143

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

SEP 2 3 2004

Technology Center 2100

-		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer			730	HAYBALL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Asghar 8		2143	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply seply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition f	·	•		merits is
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the a	oplication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from co	onsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-			
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election i	requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2001</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accept	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	• • • •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority of				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of				24
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	, ,		d in this National 3	Stage
* 5	application from the Internation iee_the_attached_detailed-Office action	•		d	
· •		FIOI WHISE OF THE CORE	mod dopies not receive	u.	
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		-152)
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	-10/8B/08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	aton Application (F10	·

Application/Control Number: 09/888,730

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jindal et al (U.S. 6,092,178).
- 3. As per claims 1, 14, 27, 28 & 30 Jindal disclosed a method of handling a resource request, comprising: receiving a resource request at a network server from a client, the resource request comprising a first identity of a network entity (col.3, lines 55-58); searching a database for a resource record associated with a best instance of the network entity; the best instance of the network entity being defined by the instance of the network entity that is most compatible with the resource request (col.3, lines 38-41); retrieving an identifier of a series of executable

Art Unit: 2143

instructions from the resource record; and executing the series of instructions to facilitate providing the requested resource to the client by the best instance of the network entity (col.3, lines 41-50).

- 4. As per claims 2 & 15 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the resource request further comprises information relating to an operational characteristic, and the best instance of the network entity is defined by the instance of the network entity that is most compatible with the operational characteristic (col.3, lines 27-37).
- 5. As per claims 3 & 4 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 2, wherein executing the series of Instructions further comprises: analyzing a set of network entities; determining the network entities compatible with the resource request on the basis of the operational characteristic; and returning a response comprising an ordered list of compatible network entities, with the best instance of network entity first (col.3, lines 5-26).
- 6. As per claim 5 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 2 further comprising: adding the information relating to the operational characteristic to the resource request after receiving said resource request at the network server from the client (col.5, lines 19-30).
- 7. As per claims 6, 9 & 16 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 2, wherein the steps of receiving and searching take place at a global network server (col.3, lines 38-50).

Art Unit: 2143

- 8. As per claims 7 & 17 Jindal disclosed a method according to claim 6, wherein the network server is a DNS server and the step of receiving a resource request comprises receiving a request concerning access to the network entity (col.5, lines 19-30).
- 9. As per claims 8 & 18 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 7 further comprising: converting the resource request at the DNS server into a form operable by the global network server; and transmitting the converted resource request to the global network server prior to the steps of searching and retrieving (col.3, lines 38-50 & col.5, lines 32-39).
- 10. As per claim 10 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 9, wherein the requested resource is provided to the client by the best instance of the network entity via the network server (col.3, lines 1-19).
- 11. As per claim 11 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 8, wherein the steps of searching a database and retrieving an identifier are implemented on a content server associated with the global server (col.3, lines 1-19).
- 12. As per claim 12 & 13 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the network entity is a server operating an application (col.4, lines 55-63).

Art Unit: 2143

- 13. As per claims 19 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 15, wherein the resource request is a DNS record and the operational characteristic is contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.6, lines 1-16).
- 14. As per claim 20 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 15, wherein the response is a DNS record and the operational characteristics of the compatible network entities are contained within an additional DNS text field forming part of the DNS record (col.5, lines 40-47 & col.6, lines 1-16).
- 15. As per claim 21 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 14, further comprising identifying a lookup means for accessing said network entity (col.4, lines 55-63).
- 16. As per claim 22 Jindal disclosed a method according to claim 21 wherein the look up means comprises an address (col.6, lines 1-16).
- 17. As per claim 23 & 24 Jindal disclosed a method according to claim 23 wherein the first identity comprises a 30 name and the second identity comprises an address (col.6, lines 1-16).
- 18. As per claim 25 Jindal disclosed a DNS record for conveying a response, comprising a user-defined text-field for specifying Content Selection Criteria for finding a best instance of a network entity for providing a requested resource; the best instance of the network entity being

defined by the instance of the network entity that is most compatible with the requested resource (col.5, lines 19-39 & col.6, lines 44-59).

- 19. As per claim 26 Jindal disclosed a DNS record for conveying a resource request, comprising an user-defined text-field for specifying at least one operational characteristic of a client for finding network entities compatible with the requested resource on the basis of operational characteristics (col.6, lines 1-16).
- 20. As per claim 29 Jindal disclosed an architecture according to claim 28, further comprising a content manager associated with the global network server and holding information on networks entities, said content manager configured for providing information on all known network entities able to supply the requested resource on receiving a query corresponding to the conventional resource request from the global network manager (col.8, lines64-67, col.9, lines 1-3 & col.9, lines 22-34).
- 21. As per claim 31 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 2, wherein the operational characteristic is one of; a response time of said network entity, a load on said network entity, a distance to the network entity, and a throughput of the network entity (col.3, lines 5-19).
- 22. As per claim 32 Jindal disclosed a method according to Claim 1, wherein the requested resource is available on the network entity but is not available on the network server (col.5, lines 19-30).

23. As per claim 33 Jindal disclosed a communications network comprising the scaleable architecture as claimed in claim 27 (col.4, lines 43-63).

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cunningham (U.S. 6,439,765) disclosed domain name resolution in a network having multiple overlapping address domains.

Mwikalo (U.S. 6,480,508) disclosed router-based domain name system proxy agent using address translation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is currently 703-305-4623 or 571-272-3907 after October-04. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached currently on 703-308-5221 or 571-272-3923 after October-04. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,730

Art Unit: 2143

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/888,730 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HAYBALL ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Asghar Bilgrami 2143 Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,092,178 A	07-2000	Jindal et al.	712/27
	В	US-6,480,508 B1	11-2002	Mwikalo et al.	370/475
	С	US-6,493,765 B1	12-2002	Cunningham et al.	709/245
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Z					
	0					
	Ъ					
	Q					
	R					·
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
	U				
	V				
	w				
	х				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.